Article type:
Review Article
Volume 1, Issue 1, pp 29-42
Article history:
Received 21 July 2024
Revised 15 August 2024
Accepted 20 September 2024
Published online 01 October 2024

© 2024 the authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

Recent Advances in Regenerative Endodontics: Clinical Applications and Challenges

1. Pardis Etezadkeyhan
School of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author email address: pardisetezadkeyhan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study aims to examine recent advances in regenerative endodontics, focusing on its clinical applications, challenges, and future prospects in modern dental practice. A descriptive narrative review was conducted, analyzing peer-reviewed literature published between 2019 and 2024. Studies were selected from major databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, using keywords related to regenerative endodontics, stem cell therapy, biomaterials, and clinical applications. The review synthesized findings on the biological principles of pulp regeneration, recent technological advancements, and the clinical effectiveness of regenerative endodontic procedures. Emphasis was placed on the role of stem cells, scaffolds, growth factors, and biomaterials in tissue engineering. Additionally, challenges such as biological variability, technical limitations, and ethical considerations were explored to provide a comprehensive perspective on the feasibility of regenerative therapies in standard endodontic practice. The analysis revealed that regenerative endodontics has significantly evolved through innovations in stem cell-based therapies, biomaterial scaffolds, and growth factor delivery systems. Clinical studies demonstrated successful pulp revascularization and continued root development in necrotic immature teeth, offering a biologically superior alternative to conventional apexification. The integration of platelet-rich fibrin, hydrogels, and nanomaterials has improved scaffold functionality, while gene therapy and 3D bioprinting show promise for future applications. However, challenges such as inconsistent treatment outcomes, immune responses, and the lack of standardized protocols remain obstacles to widespread clinical adoption. Economic barriers and ethical concerns regarding stem cell sourcing further complicate the translation of regenerative therapies into routine dental practice. Regenerative endodontics represents a paradigm shift in modern dentistry, providing an alternative to traditional root canal therapy by restoring pulp vitality and promoting natural tooth development. Despite ongoing challenges, advancements in biomaterials, stem cell technology, and molecular signaling offer promising avenues for enhancing treatment predictability and accessibility. Further research, clinical trials, and regulatory frameworks are essential to establish regenerative endodontics as a mainstream treatment modality.

Keywords: Regenerative endodontics, pulp regeneration, stem cells, biomaterials, growth factors, tissue engineering, clinical applications, dental pulp therapy.

How to cite this article:
Etezadkeyhan, P. (2024). Recent Advances in Regenerative Endodontics: Clinical Applications and Challenges. *Journal of Oral and Dental Health Nexus*, 1(1), 29-42. https://doi.org/10.61838/jodhn.1.1.3

Introduction

Regenerative endodontics has emerged as a transformative approach in modern dentistry, aiming to restore the biological function of the dental pulp rather than relying solely on traditional endodontic therapies. Unlike conventional root canal treatments that primarily focus on removing infected pulp tissue and sealing the root canal system, regenerative endodontics seeks to harness the body's natural regenerative capabilities to revitalize non-vital teeth. This approach is particularly significant for managing immature permanent teeth with necrotic pulp, where traditional treatments often fall short in achieving long-term success. The concept of regenerative endodontics is rooted in the principles of tissue engineering, involving the use of stem cells, biomaterials, and bioactive molecules to stimulate tissue repair and regeneration. Advances in cellular guidance, biomaterial science, and growth factor application have contributed to the growing interest in this field, making it a promising alternative for preserving natural dentition and enhancing patient outcomes (1).

Traditional endodontic treatments, including root canal therapy and apexification, have been the standard of care for managing pulpitis and periapical infections. These procedures effectively eliminate bacterial infection and prevent further deterioration of the tooth structure. However, they also have inherent limitations, particularly in cases involving immature permanent teeth. One of the main challenges is the inability to promote continued root development, which often leads to weakened dentinal walls and an increased risk of root fractures. Apexification using calcium hydroxide or mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) has been widely employed to induce apical closure in necrotic immature teeth, yet these materials do not facilitate true tissue regeneration. Instead, they create an artificial barrier, limiting the long-term vitality of the tooth. Additionally, conventional root canal therapy results in the loss of pulp vitality, which eliminates the tooth's ability to respond to physiological stimuli and self-repair, making it more susceptible to structural failure over time (2).

The emergence of regenerative endodontics as a viable alternative stems from its potential to restore not only the structural integrity of teeth but also their biological function. This field has evolved from the understanding that dental pulp regeneration is possible through the recruitment of endogenous stem cells, the application of scaffold materials, and the delivery of bioactive growth factors that mimic natural healing processes. Early research demonstrated that when favorable conditions are established, necrotic immature teeth can undergo revascularization, allowing for continued root development and improved mechanical strength. Stem cells derived from dental pulp, apical papilla, or periodontal ligament play a crucial role in this process, as they possess the capacity to differentiate into odontoblast-like cells and contribute to dentin-pulp complex formation. In addition, platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) and other blood-derived products have shown promising results in promoting angiogenesis and cell proliferation within the root canal system, further enhancing the success of regenerative procedures (3).

Recent advances in biomaterial science have significantly improved the effectiveness of regenerative endodontic procedures. The development of biocompatible scaffolds, such as hydrogels, nanomaterials, and collagen-based matrices, has provided a suitable microenvironment for cellular proliferation and differentiation. These scaffolds serve as a temporary matrix that facilitates cell attachment and tissue growth, ensuring that newly formed pulp-like tissue integrates seamlessly within the root canal space. Additionally, the incorporation of growth factors such as bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) has been instrumental in guiding cellular activity and promoting the formation of vascularized pulp-like tissue. The synergistic interactions between stem cells, scaffolds, and growth factors form the foundation of regenerative endodontics, offering a biologically driven alternative to traditional endodontic therapy (4).

One of the most compelling applications of regenerative endodontics is in the management of immature permanent teeth with necrotic pulp. Unlike traditional apexification, which relies on artificial apical barrier formation, regenerative endodontic procedures encourage continued root development, increasing root length and thickness while preserving dentin vitality. This is particularly beneficial in young patients, where maintaining tooth integrity is essential for long-term oral function. Clinical studies have reported successful outcomes using regenerative protocols that involve the induction of bleeding into the root canal, allowing for the migration of mesenchymal stem cells and the formation of new tissue within the canal system. The success of these procedures is largely dependent on factors such as case selection, the use of antimicrobial agents that do not interfere with stem cell viability, and the presence of an adequate scaffold to support tissue regeneration (5).

Despite its promising potential, regenerative endodontics faces several challenges that must be addressed to optimize clinical outcomes. One of the primary concerns is the variability in treatment response, as not all cases achieve complete pulp-dentin complex regeneration. Factors such as patient age, extent of pulp necrosis, and the presence of residual infection can influence the success of regenerative procedures. Moreover, the standardization of clinical protocols remains a critical issue, as variations in disinfectant agents, scaffold materials, and growth factor application can affect treatment outcomes. The need for long-term clinical studies is also evident, as the durability and functionality of regenerated tissue in comparison to natural pulp remain areas of ongoing investigation (6).

This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the recent advances in regenerative endodontics, focusing on the biological principles, clinical applications, and material innovations that have shaped this evolving field. By examining the latest research findings, this study will explore the effectiveness of various regenerative strategies, the role of stem cells and biomaterials, and the challenges that must be overcome to integrate regenerative endodontics into routine clinical practice. The scope of this review includes an evaluation of current treatment protocols, the impact of emerging technologies, and the potential for future advancements that may further enhance the predictability and success of regenerative endodontic procedures. Through this analysis, the review will contribute to a deeper understanding of how regenerative endodontics is reshaping the landscape of modern dental care and its implications for clinical practice.

Methods and Materials

This study employs a descriptive analysis method to conduct a scientific narrative review of recent advances in regenerative endodontics, focusing on clinical applications and challenges. The review aims to synthesize existing literature published between 2019 and 2024, providing a comprehensive overview of the field's progression. The study does not involve experimental or clinical research but instead relies on an extensive analysis of peer-reviewed articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and clinical studies that explore various aspects of regenerative endodontics, including biomaterials, stem cell applications, and clinical outcomes.

The research follows a qualitative narrative review design, which is appropriate for synthesizing complex and evolving scientific information in regenerative endodontics. This review includes studies published in reputable dental and biomedical journals that examine the role of stem cell therapy, scaffolds, growth factors, and bioactive materials in endodontic regeneration. The study also incorporates research on clinical applications, such as regenerative endodontic procedures (REPs), pulp revascularization, and biomaterial-based pulp-dentin complex regeneration. The scope of the review extends to biological, clinical, and material science aspects of regenerative endodontics to provide a multidisciplinary perspective.

A systematic approach was adopted to collect relevant literature from major databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The primary search terms used included "regenerative endodontics," "stem cells in endodontics," "dental pulp regeneration," "biomaterials for endodontics," "platelet-rich fibrin in pulp regeneration," and "growth factors in endodontics." Additional searches were conducted using MeSH terms related to tissue engineering and dental stem cells to ensure a comprehensive retrieval of studies. The inclusion criteria for selecting articles were as follows: studies published between 2019 and 2024, articles written in English, research conducted on human subjects or clinically relevant models, and papers addressing either biological principles, clinical applications, or material advancements in regenerative endodontics. Exclusion criteria included non-peer-reviewed sources, editorials, conference abstracts, and studies lacking sufficient methodological details. The reference lists of selected articles were manually screened to identify additional relevant studies.

The descriptive analysis of selected studies was conducted through qualitative synthesis, focusing on identifying trends, advancements, and challenges in regenerative endodontics. The findings were categorized based on thematic areas, including stem cell-based therapies, scaffold materials, growth factors, platelet-rich fibrin, and clinical applications. Each study was assessed for methodological rigor, clinical significance, and translational potential to understand the current state of regenerative endodontics and its applicability in clinical practice. Emphasis was placed on comparing various regenerative approaches, evaluating their success rates, and discussing limitations associated with each technique. The analysis also incorporated findings from clinical trials and long-term follow-up studies to determine the efficacy and feasibility of regenerative endodontic procedures. Ethical considerations regarding the application of stem cell therapies and emerging technologies were also explored to provide a holistic view of the field's advancements and future directions.

Concept and Principles of Regenerative Endodontics

Regenerative endodontics is a branch of modern dentistry that focuses on restoring the biological function of the pulp-dentin complex rather than merely eliminating infection and sealing the root canal system. Unlike conventional endodontic treatments, which result in a devitalized tooth, regenerative procedures aim to revitalize necrotic pulp tissues and promote continued root development. The theoretical foundation of regenerative endodontics is rooted in the principles of tissue engineering, which involves three key components: stem cells, scaffold materials, and signaling molecules. These elements work synergistically to facilitate the regeneration of pulp-like tissue within the root canal system, ultimately leading to the restoration of both structural integrity and biological function. The primary objective of regenerative endodontics is to provide an alternative to traditional root canal therapy by harnessing the body's natural healing mechanisms to generate vital pulp-like tissue that can support continued root maturation and enhance the long-term prognosis of the tooth (4).

The biological basis of regenerative endodontics relies on the presence of viable stem cells, the use of biocompatible scaffolds, and the application of signaling molecules that regulate cellular activity. Stem cells play a central role in this process, as they possess the capacity for self-renewal and differentiation into specialized cell types, including odontoblast-like cells that contribute to dentin formation. Dental stem cells, particularly those derived from the dental pulp, apical papilla, and periodontal ligament, are capable of responding to appropriate stimuli and differentiating into cells that facilitate tissue repair and regeneration. Among these, stem cells from the apical papilla (SCAP) are of particular interest in regenerative endodontics due to their high proliferative potential and ability to contribute to root development. The recruitment of these stem cells into the root canal space is essential for the success of regenerative procedures, as they serve as the primary cellular source for new pulp-like tissue formation (1).

Scaffold materials provide the structural framework necessary for supporting cell attachment, proliferation, and differentiation within the root canal environment. These materials are designed to mimic the natural extracellular matrix (ECM) and facilitate the organization of newly formed tissue. Several types of scaffolds have been investigated for use in regenerative endodontics, including collagen-based matrices, hydrogels, and nanofibrous scaffolds. Collagen, which is a major component of the native ECM, has been widely used due to its biocompatibility and ability to support cell adhesion. Hydrogels, which have high water content and favorable mechanical properties, have also been explored as injectable scaffolds that can be easily introduced into the root canal space. Nanomaterials, such as electrospun nanofibers, offer an additional advantage by providing a biomimetic environment that closely resembles the architecture of natural dental tissues. The choice of scaffold material is critical, as it influences the ability of stem cells to adhere, proliferate, and differentiate within the root canal system (7).

Signaling molecules play a crucial role in regulating cellular behavior during the regenerative process. Growth factors such as bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) are key regulators of pulp-dentin regeneration. These bioactive molecules modulate cell proliferation, differentiation, and angiogenesis, which are essential for the formation of new pulp-like tissue. BMPs have been shown to induce odontogenic differentiation, promoting the formation of dentin-like structures within the root canal. VEGF is involved in the development of new blood vessels, ensuring adequate vascularization and nutrient supply to the regenerating tissue. TGF-β regulates multiple cellular functions, including extracellular matrix deposition and cell migration, contributing to tissue organization and structural integrity. The controlled delivery of these signaling molecules within the root canal system enhances the regenerative potential of endodontic procedures and supports the formation of functional tissue capable of sustaining long-term viability (8).

The mechanisms of pulp-dentin regeneration rely on the activation of endogenous repair pathways and the orchestration of cellular interactions within the root canal environment. One of the primary mechanisms involves the recruitment of stem cells from periapical tissues into the root canal space, where they interact with bioactive signals and scaffold materials to initiate tissue formation. The induction of bleeding within the root canal is a commonly used technique in regenerative endodontics to facilitate the migration of mesenchymal stem cells into the site of injury. This process creates a fibrin clot that serves as a natural scaffold, providing a suitable microenvironment for cell attachment and differentiation. Once within the root canal, stem cells respond to growth factors released from the dentinal walls, triggering their differentiation into odontoblast-like cells that contribute to dentin formation. The formation of new blood vessels through angiogenesis is another critical aspect of this process, as vascularization ensures the long-term survival and functionality of the regenerated tissue (9).

Tissue engineering principles guide the development of regenerative endodontic strategies by integrating cellular biology, biomaterial science, and molecular signaling. The triad of stem cells, scaffolds, and signaling molecules forms the foundation of regenerative approaches, allowing for the reconstruction of lost or damaged dental pulp tissue. One of the fundamental principles of tissue engineering is the ability to recreate a microenvironment that mimics natural developmental processes. This involves optimizing the composition of scaffold materials, identifying the most effective growth factor combinations, and selecting the most appropriate stem cell sources for therapeutic applications. Advances in biomaterials have led to the development of bioactive scaffolds that not only provide structural support but also deliver bioactive molecules in a controlled manner. These scaffolds can be engineered to release growth factors over time, promoting sustained cell differentiation and tissue integration within the root canal system (10).

Regenerative endodontics also benefits from emerging technologies such as nanotechnology, 3D bioprinting, and gene therapy. Nanomaterials have been investigated for their ability to enhance cellular interactions and modulate signaling pathways involved in tissue regeneration. Nanoparticles can be used as carriers for delivering growth factors, antibiotics, and stem cells, improving the efficacy of regenerative procedures. 3D bioprinting offers the potential to create customized tissue constructs that replicate the architecture of the pulp-dentin complex, allowing for precise control over cell placement and material composition. Gene therapy approaches, which involve the introduction of genetic material to stimulate regenerative pathways, hold promise for enhancing the regenerative capacity of stem cells and optimizing tissue repair. These technological advancements contribute to the evolution of regenerative endodontics by providing innovative solutions for overcoming current limitations and improving clinical outcomes (11).

While significant progress has been made in understanding the principles of regenerative endodontics, several challenges remain in translating these concepts into predictable clinical applications. One of the primary concerns is the variability in treatment response among patients, as factors such as age, immune response, and the severity of pulp necrosis can influence the success of regenerative procedures. The risk of incomplete tissue regeneration and the potential for the formation of fibrous tissue instead of functional pulp tissue are additional obstacles that need to be addressed. Further research is needed to optimize treatment protocols, standardize clinical procedures, and enhance the reproducibility of regenerative outcomes. Additionally, the long-term stability and viability of regenerated tissue require further investigation to ensure that newly formed structures retain their function over time (12).

Regenerative endodontics represents a paradigm shift in dental treatment, offering the potential to restore natural tooth vitality through biologically driven approaches. By leveraging the principles of tissue engineering, this field continues to advance through innovations in stem cell research, biomaterial development, and molecular signaling. The integration of emerging technologies further enhances the regenerative potential of endodontic therapies, paving the way for improved clinical outcomes and long-term tooth preservation. Continued research and clinical trials are essential to refine regenerative techniques, address current challenges, and expand the applicability of these approaches in routine dental practice. Through a deeper understanding of the fundamental principles underlying pulp-dentin regeneration, regenerative endodontics has the potential to redefine the standard of care in modern dentistry and provide patients with superior treatment alternatives that preserve both function and aesthetics.

Recent Advances in Regenerative Endodontics

Stem cell therapy has emerged as a promising avenue in regenerative endodontics due to the ability of stem cells to differentiate into odontogenic, neurogenic, and vascular cell lineages, facilitating the regeneration of the pulp-dentin complex. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) play a crucial role in this process, as they possess multipotent capabilities and are capable of self-renewal, making them an ideal candidate for pulp tissue engineering. Among the various types of MSCs utilized in regenerative endodontics, dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) have been extensively studied due to their high proliferation rate and ability to differentiate into odontoblast-like cells responsible for dentinogenesis. Stem cells from the apical papilla (SCAP) are also considered a key cell source due to their involvement in root development and pulp regeneration. Another important source of MSCs includes stem cells from exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED), which have demonstrated superior regenerative potential due to their immature characteristics and enhanced proliferative capabilities. In addition, periodontal ligament stem cells (PDLSCs) and bone marrow-derived stem cells (BMSCs) have been explored for their potential in pulp tissue engineering. The transplantation of these cells into the root canal space has been shown to contribute to the formation of new vascularized pulp-like tissue, leading to functional recovery of the tooth (1).

The clinical potential of stem cell therapy in endodontics has been demonstrated in several studies, with preclinical and clinical evidence supporting its ability to regenerate lost pulp tissue. The introduction of stem cells into the root canal environment enables their interaction with bioactive molecules and scaffold materials, which guide their differentiation into the desired cellular phenotypes. The effectiveness of stem cell-based approaches depends on several factors, including the viability of transplanted cells, their ability to integrate with host tissues, and the microenvironmental conditions within the root canal space. Challenges such as immune rejection, ethical considerations, and the need for efficient cell delivery methods remain barriers to widespread clinical translation. However, advancements in cell culture techniques, genetic modification, and biomaterial engineering are being explored to enhance the therapeutic potential of stem cell-based regenerative endodontics (5).

Scaffold materials play an essential role in regenerative endodontics by providing structural support for cellular proliferation and differentiation. Biodegradable scaffolds are widely used in tissue engineering due to their ability to mimic the extracellular matrix (ECM) and facilitate the organization of newly formed tissues. Natural and synthetic scaffold materials have been investigated for their suitability in endodontic regeneration. Natural scaffolds, including collagen, fibrin, and alginate, are biocompatible and promote cell adhesion and differentiation. However, their rapid degradation and limited mechanical strength pose challenges for long-term tissue support. Synthetic scaffolds such as polylactic acid (PLA) and polyglycolic acid (PGA) offer better mechanical stability but may lack bioactivity, requiring functionalization with bioactive molecules to enhance their regenerative potential (13).

Hydrogels and nanomaterials have gained significant attention as scaffold materials in regenerative endodontics due to their ability to provide a hydrated, three-dimensional environment that supports cell migration and differentiation. Hydrogels composed of hyaluronic acid, chitosan, or gelatin have been used to encapsulate stem cells and deliver bioactive molecules within the root canal space. These materials can be modified to release growth factors in a controlled manner, improving the efficiency of the regenerative process. Nanofibrous scaffolds produced through electrospinning technology mimic the fibrous architecture of the native ECM, providing an ideal microenvironment for stem cell attachment and proliferation. The incorporation of nanoparticles, such as hydroxyapatite or bioactive glass, into scaffold materials has further enhanced their bioactivity, promoting odontogenic differentiation and mineralized tissue formation (10).

Growth factors and bioactive molecules play a pivotal role in pulp-dentin regeneration by modulating cellular activity and guiding tissue development. Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are among the most widely studied growth factors due to their ability to induce odontoblast differentiation and dentin matrix formation. BMP-2 and BMP-7 have been shown to enhance the recruitment and differentiation of dental stem cells, contributing to pulp tissue regeneration. Transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) is another key regulator of pulp regeneration, as it influences cell proliferation, ECM deposition, and angiogenesis. Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) are critical for promoting vascularization within the regenerating pulp tissue, ensuring adequate oxygen and nutrient supply to newly formed cells (8).

Controlled release systems for growth factor delivery have been developed to optimize regenerative outcomes by providing a sustained release of bioactive molecules within the root canal. Encapsulation of growth factors within biodegradable microspheres or nanoparticles enables their gradual release over time, maintaining a localized bioactive environment that supports stem cell activity. The use of genetically modified cells that secrete specific growth factors has also been explored as a strategy to enhance regenerative potential. These approaches aim to overcome the limitations of conventional growth factor delivery methods, such as rapid degradation and the need for repeated applications (11).

Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) and other blood-derived products have been widely used in regenerative endodontics due to their ability to release growth factors that promote tissue regeneration. PRF is an autologous biomaterial obtained from the patient's blood, which is processed to concentrate platelets and fibrin. This material contains a rich source of growth factors, including platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), VEGF, and TGF-β, which stimulate cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and matrix remodeling. The use of PRF as an intracanal scaffold has been shown to enhance the survival and differentiation of stem cells, leading to improved regenerative outcomes. Other platelet concentrates, such as platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and concentrated growth factor (CGF), have also been explored for their regenerative potential in endodontic applications (14).

The clinical applications of PRF in regenerative endodontics have been demonstrated in cases of pulp revascularization, apexogenesis, and the management of immature necrotic teeth. The ability of PRF to promote vascularization and cell migration makes it an effective scaffold for supporting pulp regeneration. PRF has been incorporated into regenerative protocols as a substitute for synthetic scaffolds, offering a cost-effective and biocompatible alternative. Despite its advantages, the variability in PRF preparation methods and the short-term release of growth factors remain challenges that need to be addressed through standardization of protocols and optimization of fibrin network characteristics (15).

Recent advancements in 3D bioprinting have provided new opportunities for developing customized tissue-engineered constructs for pulp regeneration. This technology allows for the precise placement of stem cells, scaffold materials, and growth factors in a layer-by-layer fashion, enabling the fabrication of complex tissue architectures that replicate the native pulp-dentin complex. Bioprinted constructs have been developed using bioinks composed of hydrogel-based matrices infused with stem cells and bioactive molecules. The ability to control the spatial organization of cells and biomaterials in three dimensions enhances the efficiency of regenerative processes and improves the integration of newly formed tissues within the root canal (16).

Despite its potential, 3D bioprinting in regenerative endodontics faces several challenges, including the need for biocompatible printing materials, the optimization of printing parameters, and the development of strategies to ensure vascularization of the printed constructs. The lack of long-term clinical studies on bioprinted pulp tissue further limits its immediate clinical translation. However, ongoing research efforts aim to refine 3D bioprinting techniques and explore the use of patient-specific stem cells to develop personalized regenerative endodontic therapies. The integration of bioprinting with other emerging technologies, such as gene editing and nanotechnology, holds promise for overcoming current limitations and advancing the field of regenerative endodontics (12).

Regenerative endodontics continues to evolve through innovations in stem cell research, biomaterial engineering, and bioactive molecule delivery. The integration of advanced tissue engineering strategies has significantly improved the predictability and success of regenerative procedures, offering a viable alternative to conventional endodontic treatments. While challenges remain in standardizing clinical protocols and optimizing treatment outcomes, ongoing advancements in regenerative technologies hold the potential to revolutionize endodontic care. Continued research efforts are essential to further explore the biological mechanisms underlying pulp regeneration and to develop clinically applicable approaches that can enhance the long-term success of regenerative endodontic procedures.

Clinical Applications of Regenerative Endodontics

Regenerative endodontic procedures (REPs) have revolutionized the field of endodontics by offering an alternative to traditional root canal therapy, particularly in cases involving necrotic immature teeth. These procedures aim to restore the biological function of the pulp-dentin complex rather than simply eliminating infection and sealing the root canal. The foundation of REPs lies in their ability to harness the regenerative potential of endogenous stem cells, bioactive molecules, and scaffolding materials to promote tissue repair. Current techniques for pulp revitalization involve a standardized approach, including proper disinfection of the root canal, the induction of a blood clot or scaffold-based matrix, and the use of bioactive materials to facilitate cell migration and differentiation. The most commonly followed protocol involves the use of a triple antibiotic paste or calcium hydroxide as an intracanal medicament to eliminate bacterial infection, followed by the induction of apical bleeding to create a suitable environment for stem cell recruitment. The introduction of platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) and other autologous blood derivatives has also been incorporated into REPs to enhance angiogenesis and cellular proliferation, improving overall treatment outcomes (3).

Clinical case studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of REPs in restoring pulp vitality, particularly in young patients with immature permanent teeth. The success of these procedures has been attributed to their ability to promote continued root development, leading to increased root length and thickness over time. Several reports have documented cases where necrotic immature teeth treated with regenerative endodontic techniques exhibited complete apical closure, thickened dentinal walls, and regained sensitivity to thermal and electric pulp tests. The long-term survival of these regenerated tissues has been validated through radiographic assessments, which show evidence of periapical healing and the deposition of new dentin-like structures within the root canal system. However, variations in treatment response have been observed, with some cases showing incomplete pulp regeneration or the formation of fibrous tissue instead of functional pulp-dentin complexes. The variability in clinical outcomes highlights the need for further refinement of REP protocols, particularly in optimizing the selection of scaffold materials and bioactive molecules to improve the predictability of regenerative outcomes (14).

The treatment of necrotic immature teeth has traditionally relied on apexification techniques using calcium hydroxide or mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) to induce the formation of an apical barrier. While apexification effectively prevents the extrusion of filling materials and promotes periapical healing, it does not facilitate further root development, often resulting in weakened tooth structure that is more prone to fracture. Pulp revascularization, as a regenerative alternative, has shown superior outcomes by enabling the continuation of root formation and preserving the structural integrity of the tooth. Studies comparing pulp revascularization to apexification have reported significantly higher root length and dentinal thickness in teeth treated with regenerative techniques. This is primarily due to the ability of revascularization to recruit mesenchymal stem cells from the apical papilla, which contribute to dentin deposition and pulp-like tissue formation. The clinical success rates of pulp revascularization have been encouraging, with reports indicating favorable long-term outcomes, including the resolution of periapical lesions, the maintenance of root canal patency, and the retention of tooth function over several years post-treatment. However, challenges remain in standardizing the revascularization process, as variations in the choice of intracanal medicaments, scaffold materials, and disinfection protocols can influence treatment success (17).

Despite the promising results of regenerative endodontics, achieving complete regeneration of the dentin-pulp complex remains a challenge. Ideally, functional tissue regeneration should involve the formation of vascularized pulp tissue capable of supporting odontoblast activity and promoting continuous dentin deposition. However, in many cases, the regenerated tissue within the root canal consists of fibrous or cementum-like structures rather than fully differentiated pulp-dentin complexes. The success of dentin-pulp regeneration depends on multiple factors, including the type and source of stem cells recruited, the quality of the scaffold material used, and the presence of signaling molecules that regulate cell differentiation. Growth factors such as BMPs, TGF-β, and VEGF play a crucial role in directing the regenerative process, ensuring that newly formed tissues exhibit characteristics similar to native pulp-dentin structures. The controlled delivery of these growth factors using bioengineered scaffolds or nanoparticle-based carriers has been explored as a potential strategy to enhance the regenerative capacity of endodontic treatments. However, inconsistencies in treatment outcomes suggest that further research is needed to optimize these approaches and improve the integration of regenerated tissues within the existing root structure (8).

Another significant hurdle in regenerative endodontics is the clinical translation and adoption of these procedures into routine dental practice. While REPs have been successfully implemented in academic and research settings, their widespread adoption by general practitioners has been limited due to several challenges. One of the main obstacles is the lack of standardized treatment protocols, as variations in clinician experience, patient selection criteria, and available materials can influence the predictability of outcomes. In addition, the long-term viability of regenerated pulp tissue remains uncertain, as there is limited data on the durability and functionality of newly formed tissues beyond a few years post-treatment. Concerns have also been raised regarding the potential for uncontrolled cell differentiation, which could lead to adverse effects such as calcific metamorphosis or the formation of pulp stones. Addressing these concerns requires a more comprehensive understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying regenerative endodontics, as well as the development of guidelines that ensure consistent and reproducible treatment outcomes (12).

Current guidelines for regenerative endodontics emphasize the importance of maintaining a biologically favorable environment within the root canal to support cell viability and tissue regeneration. The American Association of Endodontists (AAE) has outlined recommended protocols for REPs, including the use of low-concentration disinfectants to minimize cytotoxicity, the avoidance of excessive instrumentation to preserve stem cell niches, and the application of calcium silicate-based materials to create a favorable seal. These guidelines also stress the importance of patient selection, as regenerative procedures are most successful in younger patients with open apices and minimal periapical pathology. While these recommendations provide a foundation for clinical practice, ongoing modifications and refinements are necessary to improve treatment efficacy and address the variability observed in clinical outcomes. Emerging technologies such as 3D bioprinting, gene therapy, and nanotechnology hold promise for enhancing the reproducibility and success of regenerative endodontic procedures by offering more precise control over the tissue engineering process (11).

The integration of regenerative endodontics into standard dental practice also requires addressing the economic and logistical challenges associated with these procedures. The use of advanced biomaterials, growth factor delivery systems, and stem cell-based therapies often involves higher costs compared to conventional endodontic treatments, limiting accessibility for many patients. Additionally, the need for specialized training and equipment presents a barrier to widespread clinical implementation, as many general practitioners may not have the necessary expertise or resources to perform regenerative procedures effectively. Strategies to overcome these barriers include the development of cost-effective biomaterials, the incorporation of regenerative training into dental curricula, and the establishment of interdisciplinary collaborations between endodontists, biomaterial scientists, and tissue engineers. By addressing these challenges, regenerative endodontics has the potential to become a mainstream treatment modality that offers a biologically superior alternative to traditional root canal therapy (7).

The future of regenerative endodontics lies in the continued refinement of clinical techniques, the advancement of biomaterial science, and the exploration of novel therapeutic approaches that enhance the regenerative potential of pulp tissues. While significant progress has been made in understanding the biological mechanisms underlying pulp regeneration, further research is needed to optimize treatment protocols, improve long-term clinical outcomes, and develop strategies for integrating regenerative procedures into routine dental care. The ongoing evolution of this field has the potential to transform endodontic practice by shifting the focus from conventional root canal therapy to biologically driven treatments that restore the natural function of teeth. Through continued innovation and collaboration, regenerative endodontics is poised to redefine the standard of care in endodontic treatment, offering new possibilities for preserving tooth vitality and improving patient outcomes.

Challenges and Limitations in Regenerative Endodontics

One of the primary challenges in regenerative endodontics is the difficulty in achieving full pulp-dentin regeneration, as the formation of a fully functional pulp-like tissue with an integrated vascular supply remains a complex process. Although regenerative endodontic procedures have demonstrated promising results in pulp revascularization and continued root development, the complete restoration of the pulp-dentin complex is often inconsistent. Many clinical cases have shown that the regenerated tissue within the root canal is not identical to native pulp but rather consists of fibrous or cementum-like tissue with limited odontoblastic activity. The differentiation of stem cells recruited during regeneration does not always result in the formation of dentin-producing odontoblasts, which may affect the long-term structural integrity of the regenerated tooth. The reliance on endogenous stem cells, primarily from the apical papilla, introduces variability in treatment outcomes, as their regenerative potential can be influenced by patient age, systemic health conditions, and the severity of infection within the root canal system (8).

Another major biological challenge is the regulation of immune responses and inflammation control during the regenerative process. The success of regenerative endodontic procedures depends on the ability of stem cells to survive and function within the inflammatory microenvironment of the root canal. However, residual infection or excessive immune activation can compromise stem cell viability and hinder tissue regeneration. The use of intracanal disinfectants, such as sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine, while effective in microbial elimination, can also be cytotoxic to stem cells and reduce their ability to proliferate and differentiate. Studies have explored alternative antimicrobial strategies, such as bioactive hydrogels infused with antimicrobial peptides, to create a more favorable microenvironment for tissue regeneration. Additionally, the controlled release of anti-inflammatory cytokines within scaffold materials has been investigated as a strategy to modulate immune responses and enhance stem cell survival. However, the complex interactions between immune cells and regenerative pathways require further research to develop optimized treatment protocols that balance infection control with tissue regeneration (17).

Technical and material limitations also pose significant obstacles to the widespread clinical adoption of regenerative endodontic therapies. One of the key issues is the lack of standardization in biomaterials and treatment protocols, which has led to variability in clinical outcomes. The selection of scaffold materials, the choice of antimicrobial agents, and the method of inducing apical bleeding vary across different studies and clinical practices, making it difficult to establish universal guidelines for regenerative procedures. While some studies support the use of platelet-rich fibrin as a natural scaffold to promote cell proliferation and differentiation, others have reported inconsistent results regarding its effectiveness in maintaining pulp vitality. Similarly, the use of synthetic scaffolds, such as biodegradable polymers and hydrogels, has shown promise in experimental models but requires further validation in human clinical trials. Standardizing these approaches is essential to ensure reproducibility and predictability in treatment outcomes (14).

The cost-effectiveness and accessibility of regenerative endodontic therapies also present challenges, as these procedures often require specialized biomaterials, growth factor delivery systems, and advanced clinical expertise. Unlike conventional root canal treatments, which rely on well-established techniques and materials, regenerative endodontic procedures involve the use of bioengineered scaffolds, stem cell transplantation, and bioactive molecules, all of which contribute to higher treatment costs. The affordability of these therapies remains a concern, particularly in regions where access to advanced dental care is limited. In addition, the need for specialized training and knowledge in tissue engineering further limits the ability of general dental practitioners to implement regenerative procedures in their clinical practice. Addressing these barriers requires the development of cost-effective biomaterials and simplified treatment protocols that can be easily adopted by practitioners without the need for extensive additional training (12).

Clinical and ethical considerations surrounding the long-term safety and efficacy of stem cell-based therapies further complicate the widespread adoption of regenerative endodontics. One of the primary concerns is the long-term stability of regenerated pulp tissue and its ability to maintain function over time. While short-term studies have demonstrated successful clinical outcomes, the durability of regenerated tissues remains uncertain, as there is limited data on their behavior over several decades. The potential for uncontrolled cell differentiation, leading to the formation of calcific deposits or pulp stones, has also been observed in some cases, raising concerns about the predictability of regenerative therapies. Ensuring that regenerated tissues retain their function and do not undergo pathological changes is a critical area of research that requires long-term follow-up studies and clinical trials (7).

Ethical concerns regarding stem cell sourcing and patient consent represent additional challenges in regenerative endodontics. The use of autologous stem cells, such as those obtained from the patient's own dental pulp or apical papilla, is generally considered ethically acceptable and eliminates the risk of immune rejection. However, the use of allogeneic stem cells, derived from donors, introduces ethical and regulatory considerations, including the risk of disease transmission and immune compatibility issues. Additionally, the potential use of embryonic stem cells or genetically modified stem cells in regenerative endodontics raises complex ethical questions that must be carefully addressed before these approaches can be translated into clinical practice. Obtaining informed consent from patients undergoing regenerative procedures is also crucial, as these treatments involve novel and evolving techniques with varying degrees of success. Patients must be fully informed of the potential risks, benefits, and uncertainties associated with regenerative therapies before undergoing treatment (11).

Future research directions in regenerative endodontics are focused on developing novel biomaterials and gene therapy approaches to enhance the efficiency and predictability of pulp regeneration. Advances in biomaterial science have led to the development of bioactive scaffolds that can deliver growth factors and stem cells in a controlled manner, improving their integration within the root canal system. Nanotechnology-based biomaterials, such as nanofiber scaffolds and bioengineered hydrogels, offer improved mechanical properties and better mimic the natural extracellular matrix, creating a more suitable environment for cell adhesion and differentiation. Additionally, the use of gene therapy to regulate stem cell behavior and enhance regenerative pathways is being explored as a potential strategy to improve treatment outcomes. The introduction of specific genes that promote odontogenic differentiation or angiogenesis has shown promising results in preclinical models, but further studies are required to assess the safety and feasibility of these approaches in human patients (10).

The need for large-scale clinical trials and regulatory approvals is another critical aspect of advancing regenerative endodontic therapies. While numerous preclinical studies and small-scale clinical trials have demonstrated the potential of regenerative approaches, large-scale randomized controlled trials are necessary to establish their efficacy and long-term success. Regulatory agencies such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) play a crucial role in ensuring that regenerative endodontic therapies meet safety and efficacy standards before they can be widely implemented in clinical practice. The development of standardized protocols for assessing treatment outcomes, including radiographic and histological evaluation criteria, is essential to facilitate the approval process and ensure consistency across studies. Addressing these regulatory challenges will pave the way for the integration of regenerative endodontics into mainstream dental practice, offering patients a biologically driven alternative to traditional root canal therapy (16).

The future of regenerative endodontics lies in overcoming these biological, technical, and ethical challenges through continued research, innovation, and collaboration among scientists, clinicians, and regulatory bodies. By refining treatment protocols, developing cost-effective biomaterials, and addressing ethical concerns, regenerative endodontics has the potential to revolutionize dental care by shifting the focus from conventional root canal therapy to biologically based treatments that restore the natural function of teeth. While challenges remain, the advancements in tissue engineering, biomaterial science, and stem cell research provide a strong foundation for the continued evolution of regenerative endodontic therapies, ultimately improving patient outcomes and redefining the standard of care in modern dentistry.

Conclusion

Regenerative endodontics has emerged as a revolutionary approach in modern dentistry, offering a biologically driven alternative to traditional root canal therapy. Unlike conventional treatments that focus on removing infected pulp tissue and sealing the root canal, regenerative procedures aim to restore the natural function of the pulp-dentin complex. By harnessing the principles of tissue engineering, regenerative endodontics integrates stem cell therapy, biomaterial scaffolds, and bioactive signaling molecules to facilitate tissue regeneration. This shift towards biologically based therapies represents a significant advancement in dental care, providing new possibilities for preserving tooth vitality and improving long-term treatment outcomes.

The success of regenerative endodontics is largely dependent on the ability to create a favorable microenvironment within the root canal system that supports stem cell migration, proliferation, and differentiation. Various sources of mesenchymal stem cells, including dental pulp stem cells, stem cells from the apical papilla, and periodontal ligament stem cells, have demonstrated potential in contributing to pulp regeneration. These cells play a crucial role in differentiating into odontoblast-like cells, promoting dentin formation, and facilitating the repair of damaged pulp tissue. However, challenges remain in achieving complete pulp-dentin regeneration, as the formation of functionally integrated tissue structures varies among patients. The biological variability in stem cell response, combined with the complexity of tissue regeneration, highlights the need for continued research in optimizing regenerative protocols.

Biomaterials play an essential role in regenerative endodontic procedures by serving as scaffolds that support cellular attachment and tissue growth. Advances in biomaterial science have led to the development of biocompatible scaffolds, including hydrogels, nanomaterials, and bioengineered constructs, which provide structural support and enhance the regenerative process. These materials mimic the natural extracellular matrix, creating an environment conducive to stem cell activity and tissue integration. Additionally, the incorporation of bioactive molecules such as bone morphogenetic proteins, vascular endothelial growth factor, and transforming growth factor-beta has improved the ability to regulate cellular behavior and promote organized tissue formation. While these advancements have enhanced the effectiveness of regenerative therapies, challenges such as scaffold degradation rates, mechanical stability, and the controlled release of growth factors need further refinement to ensure consistent and predictable outcomes.

The clinical application of regenerative endodontics has shown significant promise, particularly in the treatment of necrotic immature teeth. Regenerative endodontic procedures have been successfully employed to promote root maturation, increase dentinal wall thickness, and restore tooth vitality. Unlike traditional apexification techniques, which induce the formation of an artificial apical barrier, pulp revascularization stimulates natural root development, preserving the structural integrity of the tooth. Clinical studies have demonstrated positive long-term outcomes, with evidence of continued root growth and the resolution of periapical lesions. However, variability in treatment responses remains a challenge, as some cases exhibit incomplete tissue regeneration or the formation of fibrous rather than pulp-like tissue. Standardizing clinical protocols, improving patient selection criteria, and enhancing the predictability of regenerative procedures are necessary to further integrate these therapies into routine dental practice.

Despite its promising potential, regenerative endodontics faces several biological, technical, and ethical challenges that must be addressed before it can become a widely accepted treatment modality. One of the major concerns is the immune response and inflammation control within the root canal system, as excessive inflammation can compromise stem cell viability and impede the regenerative process. Additionally, the risk of uncontrolled cell differentiation and the formation of calcific deposits within the pulp space pose challenges for maintaining long-term functionality. Ensuring that newly regenerated tissue exhibits the same structural and functional properties as native pulp remains an ongoing area of investigation. The use of genetically modified cells, controlled growth factor delivery, and immune-modulating biomaterials are potential strategies that could enhance treatment efficacy and improve long-term outcomes.

The lack of standardized treatment protocols and variability in biomaterials also presents technical limitations that hinder the widespread adoption of regenerative endodontics. While significant progress has been made in developing bioengineered scaffolds and optimizing stem cell delivery methods, inconsistencies in clinical techniques and material selection remain a concern. Variability in disinfection protocols, scaffold compositions, and growth factor applications contribute to differing treatment responses, necessitating the establishment of universal guidelines for regenerative procedures. Additionally, the high cost of regenerative therapies, coupled with the need for specialized training and equipment, poses economic and logistical barriers to clinical implementation. Developing cost-effective biomaterials, streamlining treatment procedures, and incorporating regenerative training into dental education programs are essential steps toward making these therapies more accessible to practitioners and patients.

Ethical considerations also play a significant role in the development and implementation of regenerative endodontic therapies. The use of stem cells, particularly allogeneic or embryonic stem cells, raises concerns regarding patient safety, immune compatibility, and regulatory oversight. Ethical guidelines must ensure that stem cell sourcing, consent procedures, and clinical applications adhere to established medical and scientific standards. Patient education and informed consent are critical components in regenerative treatments, as individuals undergoing these procedures must fully understand the potential risks, benefits, and uncertainties associated with experimental therapies. Addressing these ethical concerns will be key to gaining public trust and facilitating the clinical translation of regenerative endodontic approaches.

Looking ahead, the future of regenerative endodontics lies in the continued advancement of tissue engineering technologies and the development of innovative therapeutic strategies. Gene therapy approaches, such as the introduction of genetic factors that enhance odontogenic differentiation, have shown potential in improving stem cell function and optimizing tissue regeneration. Additionally, 3D bioprinting technologies are being explored as a means of fabricating complex tissue constructs that replicate the native pulp-dentin structure. The integration of nanotechnology in scaffold development has also opened new avenues for enhancing biomaterial properties and improving cell interactions. As research progresses, these emerging technologies may provide solutions to existing challenges and further enhance the effectiveness of regenerative endodontic therapies.

Large-scale clinical trials and regulatory approvals will be essential in establishing regenerative endodontics as a mainstream treatment option. While preclinical and small-scale clinical studies have demonstrated promising results, long-term data on treatment durability, functional restoration, and patient outcomes are still limited. Rigorous clinical evaluations, coupled with standardized outcome measures, will be necessary to validate the safety and efficacy of these therapies. Regulatory agencies must establish clear guidelines for the approval and implementation of regenerative treatments, ensuring that they meet established medical and ethical standards. Collaboration between researchers, clinicians, and policymakers will be key to navigating the complex regulatory landscape and facilitating the integration of regenerative therapies into everyday dental practice.

Regenerative endodontics represents a paradigm shift in dental care, offering an approach that goes beyond traditional root canal therapy by focusing on biological restoration rather than mechanical intervention. While significant progress has been made in understanding the principles of pulp-dentin regeneration, challenges related to biological variability, technical standardization, ethical considerations, and clinical translation remain. Overcoming these challenges requires a multidisciplinary approach that brings together expertise in stem cell biology, biomaterials, molecular signaling, and clinical endodontics. Continued research, technological innovation, and the establishment of evidence-based treatment protocols will be essential in ensuring the long-term success and accessibility of regenerative endodontic therapies. As the field advances, the integration of regenerative techniques into routine clinical practice has the potential to redefine the standard of care, improving patient outcomes and preserving natural dentition for future generations.

Declaration of Interest

The authors of this article declared no conflict of interest.

Ethical Considerations

None.

Authors' Contributions

All authors equally contributed to this study.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our appreciation and gratitude to all those who cooperated in carrying out this study.

Transparency of Data

In accordance with the principles of transparency and open research, we declare that all data and materials used in this study are available upon request.

Funding

This research was carried out independently with personal funding and without the financial support of any governmental or private institution or organization.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

References

1. Alharbi TM, Thabet AM, Alabbadi SH, Alhazmi MY, Khan HF, AlRasheed MA, et al. Unlocking the Potential of Cellular Guidance in Endodontics: Advancing the Process of Pulp Regeneration and Beyond. Cureus. 2024. doi: 10.7759/cureus.51651.
2. Murray PE. Review of Guidance for the Selection of Regenerative Endodontics, Apexogenesis, Apexification, Pulpotomy, and Other Endodontic Treatments for Immature Permanent Teeth. International Endodontic Journal. 2022;56(S2):188-99. doi: 10.1111/iej.13809.
3. Hirani P, Chandak M, Agrawal P, Sarangi S, Suryawanshi T, Jidewar N, et al. Platelet Power: Revitalizing Endodontics With Scaffolds. Cureus. 2024. doi: 10.7759/cureus.60691.
4. Brizuela C, Huang GT, Diogenes A, Botero TM, Khoury M. The Four Pillars for Successful Regenerative Therapy in Endodontics: Stem Cells, Biomaterials, Growth Factors, and Their Synergistic Interactions. Stem Cells International. 2022;2022:1-17. doi: 10.1155/2022/1580842.
5. Khan MK, Jindal MK. Newer Prospects of Regenerative Endodontics: A Comprehensive and Updated Review of Literature. Journal of the Scientific Society. 2023;50(3):299-306. doi: 10.4103/jss.jss_214_22.
6. Alothman FA, Hakami LS, Alnasser A, Alghamdi FM, Alamri AA, Almutairii BM. Recent Advances in Regenerative Endodontics: A Review of Current Techniques and Future Directions. Cureus. 2024. doi: 10.7759/cureus.74121.
7. Mittal S, Singh G, Koul R. Regenerative Endodontics - A Paradigm Shift: A Review Article. International Journal of Research and Review. 2024;11(9):348-54. doi: 10.52403/ijrr.20240936.
8. Sanz JL, Guerrero-Gironés J, Pecci-Lloret MP, Pecci R, Melo M. Biological Interactions Between Calcium Silicate-based Endodontic Biomaterials and Periodontal Ligament Stem Cells: A Systematic Review of <i>in Vitro</I> Studies. International Endodontic Journal. 2021;54(11):2025-43. doi: 10.1111/iej.13600.
9. Nath M, Govind S, Jena SP. Platelet Rich Fibrin(PRF)-a Novel Generation of Regeneration in Endodontics: A Review. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2021;15(2):3938-43. doi: 10.37506/ijfmt.v15i2.14988.
10. Seck A, Zein N, Nounsi A, Harmouch E, Varbanova AV, Grado GFd, et al. Nanomaterials for Endodontic Regeneration. 2021. doi: 10.3233/bhr210015.
11. Ţuculină MJ. Current Trends in Pulp Regeneration-Review. Jdhor. 2023:1-6. doi: 10.46889/jdhor.2023.4202.
12. Mujawar A. Regenerative Endodontics -Present Practice to Promising Prospects: A Literature Review. Jdhor. 2024:1-12. doi: 10.46889/jdhor.2024.5109.
13. Prasetyo EP, Wahjuningrum DA, Sampoerno G, Sukandar W, Sharizal SSB, Paidal N, et al. A Review of Tissue Engineering in Regenerative Endodontic Treatment. Conservative Dentistry Journal. 2024;14(1):1-5. doi: 10.20473/cdj.v14i1.2024.1-5.
14. Hosseini S, Chitsaz N, Hamrah MH, Maleki D, Taghizadeh E. Regenerative Endodontic Management of an Immature Necrotic Premolar Using Advanced Platelet-Rich Fibrin. Case Reports in Dentistry. 2023;2023:1-6. doi: 10.1155/2023/1135413.
15. Huidobro-Guerrero AA, Santoy-Lozano A, López-Martínez F, Garcia-Jau RA, Valle-Urias AE, Nakagoshi-Cepeda SE, et al. Autologous Platelet Concentrates in Regenerative Endodontic Treatment. International Journal of Applied Dental Sciences. 2021;7(4):20-4. doi: 10.22271/oral.2021.v7.i4a.1347.
16. Sachdeva A, Vats V, Palwankar D, Agrawal P, Lamba JS, Malhotra T. Recent Advances in Endodontics. 2024:31-5. doi: 10.58532/v3bfms20p1ch7.
17. Khan M, Jain A, Maran S, Sisodia R, Kulkarni A, Mishra S. Pulp Revascularisation of Necrotic Immature Permanent Teeth: A Review. Jidam. 2021:28-33. doi: 10.37841/jidam_2021_v8_i1_05.